UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,605	12/23/2003	Wee Song, Steve Loy	BCONP2003-13	1604	
31366 HORIZON IP F	7590 11/04/200 PTE LTD	9	EXAMINER		
7500A Beach R		JARRETT, RYAN A			
#04-306/308 The Plaza SINGAPORE 199591,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SINGAPORE			2121		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/04/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dexter.chin@horizonip.com.sg nannan.chen@horizonip.com.sg qi.yu@horizonip.com.sg

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/707,605	LOY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	RYAN A. JARRETT	2121					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Oc</u>	ctober 2009.						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	e merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,12-15 and 21-25</u> is/are pending in th	ne application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,12-15 and 21-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0 1021				
		. (-1) (5)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(a) or (t).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	have been received						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		an Na					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			Ctoro				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	o in this national	Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d					
See the attached detailed Office action to a list of	or the certified copies flot receive	u.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/07/09 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 12-15, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andrews et al. US 6,456,955.

1. A method of automating validation comprising:

defining requirements (e.g., col. 1 line 9 – col. 2 line 14);

selecting automated devices for manufacturing (e.g., Fig. 1 #10, 12, 14, 16, col. 3 lines 34-40);

integrating automated devices in a production line (e.g., Fig. 1 #10, 12, 14, 16, col. 3 lines 34-40), wherein integrating the automated devices comprises interconnecting the automated

devices to a hub-box (e.g., Fig. 1 #18, Fig. 2B #114, Fig. 3) via communication links, the hub-box operationally controls (e.g., col. 3 line 40 – col. 4 line 29: "automated method", "the application creates a matrix 104 with a specific sample and method queue within the Millennium application...The matrix determines the chemistries and mathematical algorithms employed within a specific chromatography column", "the additional tests needed for qualification are then performed automatically without the need for trained operator 100 intervention", Table 4: "Control Values") and facilitates communication between automated devices (e.g., Fig. 2B #114, *The automated tool collects data from the devices and controls the devices, so clearly there is communication between the automated tool/hub-box and the devices*) and validation of the manufacturing process, wherein the validation includes generating an organized set of documents, defining aspects of the process, in conformance with regulatory standards (e.g., Fig. 2B #116-118, col. 2 lines 15-64);

collecting processing data from the automated devices by the hub-box for analysis (e.g., Fig. 2B #114);

analyzing processing data to determine whether the requirements are satisfied (e.g., Fig. 2B #19: "ACCEPTABLE?"); and

validating the manufacturing process if the requirements are satisfied (e.g., Fig. 2B: "QUALIFICATION COMPLETED & PASSES").

12. The method of claim 1 further comprises providing a generic interface unit for facilitating communication between the hub-box and automated modules (e.g., col. 5 line 54 – col. 6 line 21).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,605

Art Unit: 2121

13. The method of claim 12 wherein providing the generic interface unit comprises providing a generic interface for each automated module (e.g., col. 5 line 54 – col. 6 line 21).

Page 4

- 14. The method of claim 13 wherein the generic interface includes communication links for each automated device of the automated module (e.g., col. 5 line 54 col. 6 line 21).
- 15. The method of claim 12 wherein the generic interface includes communication links for each automated device of the automated module (e.g., col. 5 line 54 col. 6 line 21).
- 21. The method of claim 1 wherein the requirements are user requirements or functional requirements (e.g., col. 1 line 9 col. 2 line 14).
- 22. The method of claim 21 wherein the user requirements are facility operating conditions, desired output, quality, process or product specifications (e.g., col. 1 line 9 col. 2 line 14).
- 23. The method of claim 21 wherein the functional requirements are power consumption, loading, speed or capacity, environmental operating conditions, system or equipment functionalities, process results or quality parameters (e.g., col. 1 line 9 col. 2 line 14).
- 24. The method of claim 1 wherein the documents contain information relating to change history, operating conditions, events, alarm messages, control parameters, process parameters, measurement data or analysis results (e.g., col. 4 lines 49-64).
- 25. The method of claim 1 wherein the documents are audit trail reports, electronic records or electronic signatures (e.g., col. 5 lines 21-36).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,605 Page 5

Art Unit: 2121

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/07/09 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. Applicants say they are unable find communication links between the automated

devices and the hub-box. However, the automated tool of Andrews collects data from the

devices and controls the devices, so there must be communication links between the automated

tool and the devices.

Applicant also argues that there is no disclosure of a hub-box operationally controlling

the automated devices. However, Andrews discloses an "automated method", "the application

creates a matrix 104 with a specific sample and method queue within the Millennium

application...The matrix determines the chemistries and mathematical algorithms employed

within a specific chromatography column", "the additional tests needed for qualification are then

performed automatically without the need for trained operator 100 intervention", Table 4:

"Control Values" (e.g., col. 3 line 40 - col. 4 line 29). This automated methodology is

performed by a centralized automated tool (e.g., Fig. 1 #18, Fig. 2B #114, Fig. 3), which

corresponds to the claimed hub-box.

Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-3742. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,605 Page 7

Art Unit: 2121

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3742. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ryan A. Jarrett/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2121

10/29/09